

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,685	01/03/2001	Shane J. Trapp	M4065.0369/P369	9753
24998	7590 07/11/2005		EXAM	INER
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street, NW Washington, DC 20037			CHEN, JACK S J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/752,685	TRAPP, SHANE J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
	Jack Chen	2813			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO a statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 April 2005.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-13,15-25,36-39,41-46 and 64-4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 and 15-25 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-39, 41-46, 64-70 is/are rejection. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction.	is/are withdrawn from conside				
Application Papers		•			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection	*				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the call 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the call to be th					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action responses to communication dated on April 28, 2005.

Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of Species II, with claims 36-39, 41-46 and 64-70 indicated by Applicant to read thereon, in the reply filed on 28 April 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Species are readily evaluated in one search without placing undue burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because this proposed process shows at least two different species that would require a diversity field of search and it would require undue burdensome search to examine all different species.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-13 and 15-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 36-39, 41-46 and 64-70, as being best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tan et al [US 6,140,168] in view of Ding et al [US 5,814,563].

Tan et al, Figs 1A-1D and cols. 1-6, discloses a method for forming a self-aligned contact opening (124, fig 1D) in an insulative layer (114b) formed over a substrate comprising steps:

providing the substrate (100, fig 1A) comprising adjacent gate stacks being formed thereon, the adjacent gates stacks comprising opposed side wall spacers (108) which have been formed over the adjacent gate stacks *[claims 36, 64]*;

forming the insulative layer (114, fig 1B) over the substrate, the adjacent gate stacks and the side wall spacers which have been formed over the adjacent gate stacks [claims 36 and 64];

forming a patterned photoresist mask layer (116, fig 1B) over said insulative layer [claim 36];

contacting and etching the insulative layer through an aperture (120, fig 1C-1D) in the patterned photoresist mask layer using a plasma etchant mixture comprising fluorocarbon (CHF3 and CF4, col. 3 lines 42-55) [claims 36 and 66] so as to form the self-aligned contact opening (124, fig 1D) without an etch stop [claims 42 and 64] in the insulative layer located between the adjacent gate stacks and the opposed side wall spacers aligning the self-aligned contact opening to the substrate [claim 43], wherein said sidewall spacers are not etched and defines at least in part of said self-aligned contact opening (fig 1D) [claim 64];

removing the patterned photoresist mask layer after said contacting and etching [claim 46].

Tan et al does not teach: 1) using the plasma etchant mixture essentially consisting of ammonia and said fluorocarbon of a ratio flow rate of the fluorocarbon to ammonia of 2:1 to 40:1 with the flow rate of said ammonia of at least about 2 sccm to form the self-aligned contact opening at a temperature of about -50 to 80°C with further forming a protective layer over the opposed side wall spacers of the adjacent gate stacks; and 2) depositing a conductive plug inside said self-aligned contact such that said conductive plug is separated from said side opposed side wall spacers by said protective layer.

Regarding to 1), Ding et al, figs 1-7 and col 1-14, discloses a method of forming an opening in an insulating layer (20, fig 1d) formed over a substrate (25,32,34, 36, fig 1d) in a semiconductor device comprising etching said insulative layer with an etchant composition consisting essentially of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and at least one of fluorocarbon (CHF<sub>3</sub> and CF<sub>4</sub>) so as to form said opening wherein flow rate ratio of said at least one fluorocarbon to said ammonia is from about 4:1 to about 10:1 and said flow rate of said ammonia is at least about 2 sccm (col 11-12); said etching includes plasma etching, is performed through a patterned photoresist mask without forming an etch stop, and is performed at a temperature range of about -50 to about 80°C (see examples). Regarding the flow rate of ammonia (2 sccm to about 6 sccm), see fig. 4 (Ding et al.), which is within the scope of the instant invention, also see col. 8, lines 1-3 and col. 10, lines 25-40. Ding et al discloses the etching is performed within a range temperature of about 0-50°C (e.g. 50oC, col 6 lines 51-67). Ding et al discloses the etching is performed at an operating pressure of about 40-50 mTorrs (e.g. 50 mTorrs, col 5 lines 45-65). Ding et al discloses the etching is performed at the flow rate of CF<sub>4</sub> of about 15-20 sccm (e.g. 18 sccm, col 10 lines 31-

33). Ding et al discloses the etching is performed at the flow rate of CHF<sub>3</sub> of about 35-45 sccm (e.g. 40 sccm, col 10 lines 24-26).

Ding et al disclosed using ammonia in addition to fluorocarbon with the flow rate ratio of the fluorocarbon to ammonia of 2:1 to 40:1 and the flow rate of ammonia of at least about 2 sccm for plasma etching the insulative layer at a temperature of about -50 to 80°C would provide a better etch process with a high etch rate and an improved etch selectivity (see col 5-12). Ding et al also teaches using the plasma etchant mixture consisting essentially fluorocarbon and ammonia would form an opening with a protective layer being formed on sidewall of the opening (fig 1b or 1d). Therefore, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify the process of Tan et al by using the plasma etchant mixture essentially consisting of ammonia and said fluorocarbon with the flow rate ratio and temperature as being claimed, per taught by Ding et al, to etch the self-aligned contact with a better etch rate and improved etch selectivity without an etch stop. In addition, those skilled in the art would recognize that combination of the process of Tan et al in view of Ding et al will form a protective layer containing nitrogen over the opposed side wall spacers in the self-aligned contact opening.

Regarding to 2), depositing the conductive plug inside the self-aligned contact opening is known in the art for forming electrical connection in a semiconductor device. In addition, Tan et al teaches forming a self-aligned contact opening is for forming electrical connection between source/drain region and metal layer [see col 2 lines 15-23). It would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify the process of Tan et al in view of Ding et al by depositing the conductive plug inside the self-aligned contact opening wherein the conductive plug separated

from the sidewall spacers by the protective layer since the usage of the plasma etchant mixture consisting essentially of ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and at least one of fluorocarbon to provide electrical connection between source/drain region to certain location of the semiconductor device to operate the device.

Tan et al (col 3 lines 42-50) further teaches using the fluorocarbons essentially consisting of CF<sub>4</sub> and CHF<sub>3</sub> for etching the insulative layer. Ding et al teaches C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> can be added to the fluorocarbon mixture for etching the insulative layer. Therefore, it would have been obvious for those skill in the art to use the fluorocarbon mixture comprising CF<sub>4</sub>, CHF<sub>3</sub>, and CH<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> to etch the insulative layer in the process of Tan et al in view of Ding et al. In addition, using the fluorocarbons comprising CF<sub>4</sub>, CHF<sub>3</sub> and CH<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> has been known in the art for etching the insulative layer. The selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co., Inc. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945). "Reading a list and selecting a known compound to meet known requirements is no more ingenious than selecting the last piece to put in the last opening in a jig - saw puzzle." 65 USPQ at 301.).

With respect to claims 36, 39, 41, 44-45, 64-70, claimed ranges of temperature, flow rates, flow rate ratios in the etching step and thickness, absent evidence of disclosure of criticality for the range giving unexpected results are considered to involve routine optimization while has been held to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As noted in *In re Aller 105 USPQ233*, 255 (CCPA 1955), the selection of reaction parameters such as temperature and concentration would have been obvious. See also In re Waite 77 USPQ 586 (CCPA 1948); In re Scherl 70 USPQ 204 (CCPA 1946); In re Irmscher 66 USPQ 314 (CCPA 1945); In re Norman

Application/Control Number: 09/752,685

Art Unit: 2813

,685

Page 7

66 USPQ 308 (CCPA 1945); In re Swenson 56 USPQ 372 (CCPA 1942); In re Sola 25 USPQ

433 (CCPA 1935); In re Dreyfus 24 USPQ 52 (CCPA 1934).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jack Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-1689. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (9:00am-6:30pm) alternate Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead can be reached on (571)272-1702. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Chen

Primary Examiner

Fruit

Art Unit 2813

July 10, 2005